



Integrated Mosquito and Vector Management Program

Napa County Mosquito Abatement District

Final Programmatic EIR
October 2015

State Clearinghouse No.
2012052042



Document Information

Prepared for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District
Project Name Integrated Mosquito and Vector Management Program
 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
Date October 2015
State Clearinghouse No. 2012052042

Prepared for:



Napa County Mosquito Abatement District
15 Melvin Road, American Canyon, CA 94503 USA
www.napamosquito.org

Prepared by



Cardno, Inc.
2300 Clayton Road, Suite 200, Concord, CA 94520 USA
www.cardno.com

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Table of Contents

Summary	S-1
S.1 Background.....	S-1
S.1.1 Vector-Borne Diseases in Program Area.....	S-1
S.1.2 Authority to Implement Vector Control	S-2
S.2 Program Objectives and Purpose.....	S-2
S.3 Public Involvement Summary.....	S-3
S.4 Areas of Known Public Concern.....	S-3
S.5 Proposed Program Alternatives.....	S-4
S.5.1 Proposed Program	S-4
S.5.1.1 Surveillance	S-6
S.5.1.2 Physical Control.....	S-6
S.5.1.3 Vegetation Management	S-6
S.5.1.4 Biological Control.....	S-7
S.5.1.5 Chemical Control	S-8
S.5.1.6 Nonchemical Control/Trapping	S-8
S.5.2 Alternatives Eliminated From Further Consideration	S-9
S.5.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	S-10
S.6 Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	S-10
1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 History and Background	1-1
1.1.1 Vector-Borne Diseases in Program Area.....	1-1
1.1.1.1 Mosquitoes	1-1
1.1.1.2 Other Arthropod Vectors.....	1-4
1.1.1.3 Mammals	1-7
1.1.2 Potential for Human and Animal Illness	1-9
1.1.3 Legislative and Regulatory Actions.....	1-9
1.2 Program Objectives/Purpose and Need.....	1-12
1.2.1 Program Objectives.....	1-12
1.2.2 Purpose and Need	1-12
1.3 Alternatives Considered in this Programmatic Environmental Impact Report	1-13
1.4 Public Involvement	1-14
1.4.1 CEQA Public Scoping	1-14
1.4.2 Public Scoping for Programmatic Environmental Impact Report.....	1-15
1.4.3 Areas of Known Public Concern	1-15
1.4.4 Distribution of the Programmatic Environmental Impact Report.....	1-16
1.5 Environmental Concerns	1-17
1.5.1 Urban and Rural Land Uses.....	1-17
1.5.2 Biological Resources-Aquatic	1-17
1.5.3 Biological Resources-Terrestrial	1-18
1.5.4 Ecological Health Hazards	1-19
1.5.5 Human Health Hazards.....	1-19

1.5.6	Public Services and Hazard Response.....	1-20
1.5.7	Water Quality.....	1-20
1.5.8	Air Quality and Climate Change.....	1-20
1.5.9	Noise	1-21
1.6	Impacts Not Given In-Depth Evaluation in This Programmatic Environmental Impact Report.....	1-21
1.7	Report Organization and Significance Terminology.....	1-22
1.8	Use of This PEIR for Future CEQA Compliance	1-24
1.8.1	Future Activities.....	1-25
1.8.1.1	Future Chemical Treatments	1-25
1.8.1.2	Appendix B Summary and Exempt Materials.....	1-25
1.8.1.3	Future Formulations	1-26
1.8.2	Future Nonchemical Treatments.....	1-27
1.8.2.1	Future Nonchemical Treatments by the District	1-27
1.8.2.2	Future Nonchemical Treatments by Landowners/Managers	1-28
2	Program Description.....	2-1
2.1	Program Area and Vicinity.....	2-1
2.2	Program Objectives	2-1
2.2.1	Purpose and Need	2-1
2.2.2	Program Objectives.....	2-2
2.3	Proposed Program	2-5
2.3.1	Surveillance Alternative.....	2-7
2.3.1.1	Mosquito Surveillance	2-7
2.3.1.2	Tick Surveillance	2-9
2.3.1.3	Yellow Jacket and Other Wasps.....	2-10
2.3.1.4	Rodent Surveillance	2-10
2.3.1.5	Other Vector Surveillance.....	2-10
2.3.2	Physical Control Alternative	2-10
2.3.2.1	Mosquitoes	2-11
	Physical control for mosquitoes	2-11
2.3.2.2	Other Vectors.....	2-15
2.3.3	Vegetation Management Alternative.....	2-16
2.3.4	Biological Control Alternative	2-17
2.3.4.1	Mosquito Pathogens.....	2-17
2.3.4.2	Mosquito Parasites	2-22
2.3.4.3	Mosquito Predators	2-22
2.3.4.4	Other Vectors.....	2-23
2.3.5	Chemical Control Alternative.....	2-23
2.3.5.1	Mosquito Abatement.....	2-24
2.3.5.2	Yellow Jacket and Tick Abatement	2-40
2.3.5.3	Rodent Abatement.....	2-41
2.3.6	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	2-46

2.4	Public Education	2-46
2.5	Emergency Activities	2-47
2.6	Vehicles and Equipment Used to implement the Program.....	2-47
2.6.1	Vehicles and Equipment for Ground Surveillance and Chemical Application.....	2-50
2.6.2	Boats for Water Surveillance and Application	2-50
2.6.3	Aerial Application	2-51
2.7	Other Program Alternatives	2-51
2.7.1	No Program Alternative	2-51
2.7.2	Alternatives Eliminated from Further Consideration	2-51
2.7.3	Other Alternatives	2-52
2.7.4	Environmentally Superior Alternative	2-53
2.8	Other Required Permits and Agency Coordination	2-54
2.8.1	Required Permits	2-54
2.8.1.1	California Department of Public Health	2-54
2.8.1.2	Statewide General NPDES Permit for Vector Control.....	2-54
2.8.1.3	Statewide General NPDES Permit for Algae and Aquatic Weed Control	2-54
2.8.1.4	United States Army Corps of Engineers.....	2-55
2.8.1.5	United States Fish and Wildlife Service	2-55
2.8.1.6	County Agricultural Commissioner	2-55
2.8.2	Agency Coordination.....	2-55
2.9	Best Management Practices.....	2-56
2.9.1	District Program BMPs	2-56
2.9.1.1	California Pesticide Regulatory Program	2-56
2.9.2	Other BMPs for Mosquito and/or Vector Control	2-57
2.9.3	Other BMPs for Mosquito and/or Vector Control	2-71
3	Urban and Rural Land Uses.....	3-1
3.1	Environmental Setting	3-1
3.1.1	Overview of Urban and Rural Land Use	3-1
3.1.2	Public Lands.....	3-2
3.1.3	Regulatory Setting.....	3-3
3.1.3.1	Federal.....	3-3
3.1.3.2	State	3-3
3.1.3.3	Local	3-3
3.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	3-4
3.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	3-4
3.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	3-4
3.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	3-5
3.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	3-6
3.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	3-6
3.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	3-7
3.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative.....	3-7
3.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	3-8

3.2.9	Cumulative Impacts.....	3-8
3.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	3-9
3.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	3-12
4	Biological Resources – Aquatic	4-1
4.1	Environmental Setting	4-1
4.1.1	Aquatic and Wetland Resources within the Program Area	4-1
4.1.2	Special Status Species	4-6
4.1.3	Regulatory Setting.....	4-31
4.1.3.1	Federal.....	4-31
4.1.3.2	State	4-33
4.1.3.3	Local	4-34
4.1.4	Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Community Conservation Plans	4-36
4.1.4.1	Turkey Road Low Effects HCP	4-37
4.1.4.2	California Department of Corrections Statewide Electrified Fence Project.....	4-38
4.1.4.3	Shiloh III.....	4-38
4.1.4.4	Shiloh IV	4-38
4.1.4.5	Bay Delta Conservation Plan.....	4-38
4.1.4.6	Solano Habitat Conservation Plan	4-39
4.1.4.7	Yolo Natural Heritage Program	4-39
4.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	4-40
4.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	4-40
4.2.1.1	Environmental Concerns	4-40
4.2.1.2	Significance Criteria.....	4-41
4.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	4-41
4.2.2.1	Evaluation Methods	4-41
4.2.2.2	Assumptions	4-59
4.2.2.3	Hazardous Material	4-61
4.2.2.4	Toxicity and Exposure	4-61
4.2.2.5	Chemistry, Fate, and Transport.....	4-62
4.2.2.6	Ecological Food Web.....	4-62
4.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	4-63
4.2.3.1	Impacts to Special Status Species	4-64
4.2.3.2	Impacts to Habitat.....	4-65
4.2.3.3	Impacts to Migration and Movement	4-66
4.2.3.4	Conflict with Local Policies	4-66
4.2.3.5	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-67
4.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	4-67
4.2.4.1	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats.....	4-67
4.2.4.2	Effects on Movement and Migration	4-72
4.2.4.3	Conflict with Local Policies	4-73
4.2.4.4	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-73
4.2.4.5	Other Vectors.....	4-73

4.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	4-73
4.2.5.1	Physical Vegetation Removal	4-74
4.2.5.2	Herbicides	4-74
4.2.5.3	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats	4-77
4.2.5.4	Conflict with Local Policies	4-80
4.2.5.5	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-80
4.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	4-80
4.2.6.1	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats	4-81
4.2.6.2	Effects on Movement and Migration	4-81
4.2.6.3	Conflict with Local Policies	4-81
4.2.6.4	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-82
4.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative	4-82
4.2.7.1	Impacts to Special Status Species	4-85
4.2.7.2	Impacts to Habitats	4-89
4.2.7.3	Effects on Movement and Migration	4-89
4.2.7.4	Conflict with Local Policies	4-90
4.2.7.5	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-90
4.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	4-90
4.2.8.1	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats	4-90
4.2.8.2	Effects on Movement and Migration	4-91
4.2.8.3	Conflict with Local Policies	4-91
4.2.8.4	Conflict with Conservation Plans	4-91
4.2.9	Cumulative Impacts	4-92
4.2.9.1	Regional Fisheries Trends	4-92
4.2.9.2	Program Alternatives	4-93
4.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	4-94
4.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	4-101
5	Biological Resources – Terrestrial	5-1
5.1	Environmental Setting	5-1
5.1.1	Terrestrial Resources within the Program Area	5-1
5.1.2	Special Status Species	5-5
5.1.3	Regulatory Setting	5-5
5.1.3.1	Federal	5-5
5.1.3.2	State	5-7
5.1.3.3	Local	5-8
5.1.4	Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Community Conservation Plans	5-11
5.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	5-11
5.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	5-11
5.2.1.1	Environmental Concerns	5-11
5.2.1.2	Significance Criteria	5-13
5.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	5-13
5.2.2.1	Evaluation Methods	5-13
5.2.2.2	Assumptions	5-31

	5.2.2.3	Chemistry, Fate, and Transport.....	5-34
	5.2.2.4	Ecological Food Webs.....	5-35
5.2.3		Surveillance Alternative.....	5-36
	5.2.3.1	Impacts to Special Status Species	5-36
	5.2.3.2	Impacts to Habitat.....	5-37
	5.2.3.3	Impacts to Migration and Movement	5-38
	5.2.3.4	Conflict with Local Policies	5-38
	5.2.3.5	Conflict with Conservation Plans.....	5-39
5.2.4		Physical Control Alternative	5-39
	5.2.4.1	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats.....	5-39
	5.2.4.2	Effects on Movement and Migration.....	5-45
	5.2.4.3	Conflict with Local Policies	5-45
	5.2.4.4	Conflict with Conservation Plans.....	5-45
	5.2.4.5	Other Vectors.....	5-46
5.2.5		Vegetation Management Alternative	5-46
	5.2.5.1	Physical Vegetation Removal.....	5-46
	5.2.5.2	Herbicides.....	5-47
	5.2.5.3	Adjuvants	5-48
	5.2.5.4	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats.....	5-49
5.2.6		Biological Control Alternative	5-55
	5.2.6.1	Mosquito Larvae Pathogens.....	5-55
	5.2.6.2	Mosquito Predators	5-55
	5.2.6.3	Effects on Movement and Migration.....	5-56
	5.2.6.4	Conflict with Local Policies	5-56
	5.2.6.5	Conflict with Conservation Plans.....	5-57
5.2.7		Chemical Control Alternative.....	5-57
	5.2.7.1	Impacts to Special Status Species	5-59
	5.2.7.2	Impacts to Habitat.....	5-69
	5.2.7.3	Effects on Movement and Migration.....	5-69
	5.2.7.4	Conflicts with Local Policies	5-69
	5.2.7.5	Conflicts with HCP/NCCPs.....	5-69
5.2.8		Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	5-70
	5.2.8.1	Impacts to Special Status Species and Habitats.....	5-70
	5.2.8.2	Effects on Movement and Migration.....	5-71
	5.2.8.3	Conflict with Local Policies	5-71
	5.2.8.4	Conflict with Conservation Plans.....	5-71
5.2.9		Cumulative Impacts.....	5-72
5.2.10		Environmental Impacts Summary	5-73
5.2.11		Mitigation and Monitoring.....	5-79

6 Ecological Health6-1

6.1 Environmental Setting 6-1

6.1.1 Hazards, Toxicity, and Exposure in the Environmental Setting 6-1

6.1.1.1 Toxicity and Exposure 6-2

6.1.1.2 Chemistry, Fate, and Transport..... 6-2

6.1.1.3 Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification..... 6-3

6.1.2 Pesticides and the Environment..... 6-3

6.1.3 Regulatory Setting..... 6-5

6.1.3.1 Federal..... 6-5

6.1.3.2 State of California 6-6

6.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures..... 6-7

6.2.1 Evaluation Concerns and Criteria 6-7

6.2.2 Evaluation Methods and Assumptions 6-10

6.2.3 Surveillance Alternative..... 6-15

6.2.4 Physical Control Alternative 6-15

Physical control for mosquitoes 6-15

6.2.5 Vegetation Management Alternative 6-16

6.2.5.1 Herbicides..... 6-17

6.2.6 Biological Control Alternative 6-19

6.2.6.1 Mosquito Larvae Pathogens..... 6-19

6.2.6.2 Mosquito Predators 6-20

6.2.7 Chemical Control Alternative..... 6-20

6.2.7.1 Mosquito Larvicides..... 6-22

6.2.7.2 Mosquito Adulticides..... 6-25

6.2.7.3 Yellow Jacket Wasp and Tick Adulticides 6-28

6.2.7.4 Rodenticides 6-29

6.2.8 Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative 6-31

6.2.9 Cumulative Impacts..... 6-31

6.2.10 Environmental Impacts Summary 6-32

6.2.11 Mitigation and Monitoring 6-36

7 Human Health7-1

7.1 Environmental Setting 7-1

7.1.1 Population Characteristics of the Program Area..... 7-1

7.1.2 Hazards, Toxicity, and Exposure in the Environmental Setting 7-2

7.1.2.1 Toxicity and Exposure 7-2

7.1.2.2 Chemistry, Fate, and Transport..... 7-3

7.1.3 Pesticides and the Environment..... 7-3

7.1.4 Regulatory Environment..... 7-5

7.1.4.1 Federal..... 7-5

7.1.4.2 State of California 7-6

7.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	7-8
7.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	7-8
7.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	7-9
7.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	7-12
7.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	7-13
	Physical Control for mosquitoes	7-13
7.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	7-13
	7.2.5.1 Herbicides.....	7-14
	7.2.5.2 Adjuvants	7-15
7.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	7-16
	7.2.6.1 Mosquito Larvae Pathogens.....	7-16
	7.2.6.2 Mosquito Predators	7-16
	7.2.6.3 Other Vectors.....	7-17
7.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative.....	7-17
	7.2.7.1 Mosquito Larvicides	7-18
	7.2.7.2 Mosquito Adulticides.....	7-20
	7.2.7.3 Yellow Jacket Wasp and Tick Adulticides	7-23
	7.2.7.4 Rodenticides	7-24
7.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	7-25
7.2.9	Cumulative Impacts.....	7-26
7.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	7-26
7.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring.....	7-29
8	Public Services and Hazard Response.....	8-1
8.1	Environmental Setting	8-1
8.1.1	Overview of Public Services and Hazard Response.....	8-1
8.1.2	Regulatory Setting.....	8-2
	8.1.2.1 State	8-2
	8.1.2.2 Local	8-3
8.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	8-3
8.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	8-3
8.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	8-5
	8.2.2.1 Methodology	8-5
	8.2.2.2 Assumptions	8-5
8.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	8-6
	8.2.3.1 Increase Demand for Police, Fire, or Health-Care Services	8-6
8.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	8-7
8.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	8-8
8.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	8-10
8.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative.....	8-11
	8.2.7.1 Mosquito Ground Application	8-11
	8.2.7.2 Mosquito Aerial Application	8-14
	8.2.7.3 Yellow Jackets, Ticks, and Rodents	8-16
8.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	8-17
8.2.9	Cumulative Impacts.....	8-18

8.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	8-18
8.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	8-23
9	Water Resources	9-1
9.1	Environmental Setting	9-1
9.1.1	California's Hydrologic and Geomorphic Regions	9-1
9.1.1.1	San Francisco Bay Hydrologic Region	9-1
9.1.1.2	North Coast Hydrologic Region	9-6
9.1.1.3	Sacramento River Hydrologic Region	9-6
9.1.1.4	San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region	9-7
9.1.1.5	Existing Water Quality	9-8
9.1.2	Regulatory Setting	9-9
9.1.2.1	Federal	9-9
9.1.2.2	State	9-12
9.1.2.3	Local	9-16
9.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	9-17
9.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	9-17
9.2.1.1	Thresholds of Significance	9-18
9.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	9-19
9.2.2.1	Methodology	9-19
9.2.2.2	Assumptions	9-24
9.2.2.3	Toxicity and Exposure	9-24
9.2.3	Surveillance Alternative	9-25
9.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	9-25
9.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	9-27
9.2.5.1	Mechanical Removal of Vegetation	9-27
9.2.5.2	Application of Herbicides	9-28
9.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	9-31
9.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative	9-31
9.2.7.1	Mosquito Larvicides	9-33
9.2.7.2	Mosquito Adulticides	9-35
9.2.7.3	Yellow Jacket Abatement	9-38
9.2.7.4	Tick Abatement	9-38
9.2.7.5	Rodenticides	9-39
9.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	9-40
9.2.9	Cumulative Impacts	9-40
9.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	9-40
9.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	9-45
10	Air Quality	10-1
10.1	Environmental Setting	10-1
10.1.1	Program Location	10-1
10.1.2	Meteorology and Climate	10-2
10.1.3	Criteria Air Pollutants and Potential Health Impacts	10-2
10.1.3.1	Ozone	10-2
10.1.3.2	Nitrogen Dioxide	10-2

	10.1.3.3 Carbon Monoxide	10-3
	10.1.3.4 Sulfur Dioxide	10-3
	10.1.3.5 Respirable Particulate Matter, 10 Microns	10-3
	10.1.3.6 Fine Particulate Matter, 2.5 Microns	10-4
10.1.4	Relationship of Air Pollution to Asthma	10-4
	10.1.4.1 Sensitive Receptors	10-4
10.1.5	Existing Air Quality	10-5
	10.1.5.1 Sources of Air Pollutants	10-5
	10.1.5.2 Volatile Organic Compounds	10-6
10.1.6	Regulatory Framework	10-7
	10.1.6.1 Standards and Attainment Status	10-7
	10.1.6.2 Federal Authority	10-9
	10.1.6.3 State Authority	10-10
	10.1.6.4 Local Authority	10-10
	10.1.6.5 Source-Specific Regulations	10-10
10.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	10-13
10.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	10-13
	10.2.1.1 Standards of Significance	10-13
10.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions	10-16
10.2.3	Surveillance Alternative	10-23
10.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	10-25
10.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	10-27
10.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	10-28
10.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative	10-30
10.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	10-32
10.2.9	Cumulative Impacts	10-34
10.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	10-35
10.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	10-41
11	Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change	11-1
11.1	Environmental Setting	11-1
11.1.1	Global Climate Change	11-1
	11.1.1.1 Local Climate	11-1
11.1.2	The Greenhouse Effect	11-1
11.1.3	Greenhouse Gases and Their Emissions	11-3
	11.1.3.1 The Atmosphere	11-3
	11.1.3.2 Greenhouse Gases	11-4
	11.1.3.3 Emission Sources	11-5
	11.1.3.4 Mobile Sources	11-6
	11.1.3.5 Sensitive Receptors	11-6
11.1.4	California Climate Impacts	11-7
	11.1.4.1 State Policies	11-7
11.1.5	Emissions Inventories	11-7
11.1.6	Potential for Mitigation	11-10

11.1.7	Regulatory Setting.....	11-10
11.1.7.1	Federal.....	11-10
11.1.7.2	State	11-11
11.1.7.3	Local	11-13
11.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigations Measures.....	11-18
11.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	11-18
11.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions.....	11-18
11.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	11-20
11.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	11-21
11.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative	11-22
11.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	11-23
11.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative.....	11-24
11.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	11-24
11.2.9	Cumulative Impacts.....	11-25
11.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	11-26
11.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	11-29
12	Noise	12-1
12.1	Environmental Setting	12-1
12.1.1	Overview of Environmental Sound.....	12-1
12.1.2	Community Noise Levels	12-2
12.1.3	Noise Level Acceptance Criteria.....	12-3
12.1.4	Sensitive Receptors	12-3
12.1.5	Regulatory Setting.....	12-3
12.1.5.1	Federal Regulations	12-3
12.1.5.2	State Regulations	12-6
12.1.5.3	Local Regulations	12-8
12.2	Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	12-8
12.2.1	Evaluation Concerns and Criteria	12-8
12.2.2	Evaluation Methods and Assumptions.....	12-14
12.2.2.1	Methodology	12-14
12.2.2.2	Assumptions	12-15
12.2.3	Surveillance Alternative.....	12-15
12.2.3.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-16
12.2.3.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-17
12.2.4	Physical Control Alternative	12-17
12.2.4.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-18
12.2.4.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-18
12.2.5	Vegetation Management Alternative.....	12-19
12.2.5.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-20
12.2.5.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-20
12.2.6	Biological Control Alternative	12-20
12.2.6.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-21
12.2.6.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-21

12.2.7	Chemical Control Alternative.....	12-21
12.2.7.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-22
12.2.7.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-23
12.2.8	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative	12-23
12.2.8.1	Exceedance of Noise Standards	12-24
12.2.8.2	Substantial Temporary Increase in Noise Levels	12-24
12.2.9	Cumulative Impacts.....	12-24
12.2.10	Environmental Impacts Summary	12-24
12.2.11	Mitigation and Monitoring	12-28
13	Cumulative Impacts	13-1
13.1	Urban and Rural Land Uses	13-3
13.2	Biological Resources – Aquatic	13-3
13.2.1	Regional Fisheries Trends	13-3
13.2.1.1	Pelagic Organism Decline (POD).....	13-3
13.2.1.2	Salmonid Population Trends	13-5
13.2.2	Program Alternatives.....	13-5
13.2.2.1	Physical Control Alternative.....	13-6
13.2.2.2	Vegetation Management Alternative	13-6
13.2.2.3	Chemical Control Alternative	13-7
13.3	Biological Resources – Terrestrial.....	13-7
13.3.1	Effects on Pollinators	13-8
13.3.2	Vegetation Management	13-9
13.3.3	Chemical Control Alternative.....	13-9
13.4	Ecological Health.....	13-10
13.5	Human Health.....	13-15
13.6	Public Services and Hazard Response	13-16
13.7	Water Resources	13-16
13.8	Air Quality	13-17
13.9	Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change	13-17
13.10	Noise.....	13-18
13.11	Summary of Cumulative Impacts.....	13-18
14	Other Required Disclosures	14-1
14.1	Significant Unavoidable Impacts	14-1
14.1.1	No Program	14-1
14.1.2	Proposed Program Alternatives	14-1
14.2	Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources	14-1
14.2.1	Energy Resources.....	14-2
14.2.2	Environmental Accidents.....	14-2
14.3	Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	14-2
14.4	Energy Requirements and Conservation Measures.....	14-3

15 Alternatives.....15-1

15.1 Alternatives Analysis and Screening Process 15-1

15.2 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated 15-1

15.3 No Program 15-3

15.4 Alternatives to Reduce Significant Impacts 15-5

 15.4.1 Reduced Chemical Control Alternative 15-6

 15.4.2 No Chemical Control Alternative 15-7

15.5 Comparison of Alternatives 15-8

15.6 Environmentally Superior Alternative 15-9

16 List of Preparers.....16-1

16.1 Napa County Mosquito Abatement District 16-1

16.2 Consultant Team 16-1

17 References.....17-1

Appendices

Appendix A Biological Resources Technical Report

Appendix B Ecological and Human Health Assessment Report

Appendix C Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Report

Appendix D Noise Analysis Technical Report

Appendix E Alternatives Analysis

Appendix F Supplemental IMVMP Information

Tables

Table S-1	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Summary Comparison of Impacts of Alternatives	S-12
Table S-2	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Significant Impact and Mitigation for Chemical Control Alternative	S-15
Table S-3	Comparison of Reduced Program Alternatives to Proposed Program	S-17
Table 1-1	Human West Nile Virus Activity, California 2003–2014	1-2
Table 1-2	Total Malaria Cases By Year*	1-4
Table 1-3	Total Lyme Disease Cases By Year*	1-5
Table 1-4	Total Babesiosis Cases By Year*	1-5
Table 1-5	Total Ehrlichiosis Cases By Year*	1-5
Table 1-6	Total Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever Cases By Year*	1-6
Table 1-7	Total Spotted Fever Rickettsia 364D Cases By Year*	1-6
Table 1-8	Total Anaplasmosis Cases By Year*	1-6
Table 1-9	Total Tularemia Cases By Year*	1-7
Table 1-10	Total Tick-Borne Relapsing Fever Cases By Year*	1-7
Table 1-11	Total Hantavirus Cases By Year*	1-8
Table 1-12	USEPA Toxicity Categories	1-26
Table 2-1	Herbicides and Adjuvants Napa County Mosquito Abatement District May Use for Vegetation Management	2-18
Table 2-2	Pathogens and Other Larvicides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Uses or May Use for Mosquito Abatement	2-27
Table 2-3	Adulticides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Uses or May Use for Mosquito Abatement	2-35
Table 2-4	Pesticides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Uses or May Use for Yellow Jacket Wasp Abatement	2-42
Table 2-5	Pesticides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District May Use for Tick Abatement*	2-43
Table 2-6	Pesticides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Uses or May Use for Rodent Abatement	2-45
Table 2-7	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Vehicles and Equipment	2-47
Table 2-8	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Equipment Use Guidelines	2-49
Table 2-9	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District BMPs to Avoid/Minimize Environmental Impacts by Alternative	2-58
Table 2-10	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District List of Recommended BMPs for Vector Management by Landowners/Land Managers	2-71
Table 3-1	Federal Lands in the NCMAD Program Area, FY-2012 (acres)	3-2
Table 3-2	Summary of Land Uses Impacts by Alternative	3-10
Table 4-1	Aquatic and Wetland Habitat Types	4-5

Table 4-2 Wetland and Aquatic Habitat Types Potentially Affected by each Program Alternative 4-6

Table 4-3 California Natural Diversity Database Occurrences Plant Species in Napa County Mosquito Abatement District and its Adjacent Program Area..... 4-7

Table 4-4 California Natural Diversity Database Occurrences Animal Species in Napa County Mosquito Abatement District and its Adjacent Program Area 4-21

Table 4-5 Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Community Conservation Plans in the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Program Area 4-37

Table 4-6 Napa County Mosquito Abatement District BMPs to Avoid / Minimize Environmental Impacts by Alternative..... 4-43

Table 4-7 Herbicide and Adjuvant Toxicity^{1,2} to Fish and Aquatic Invertebrates 4-75

Table 4-8 Adjuvants for Insect Abatement/Weed Control as Discussed in Appendix B 4-76

Table 4-9 Chemical Classes and their Toxicity^{1,2} to Fish and Nontarget Aquatic Invertebrates 4-83

Table 4-10 Summary Biological Aquatic Impacts by Alternative..... 4-95

Table 5-1 Terrestrial Habitat Types..... 5-2

Table 5-2 Terrestrial Habitat Types Potentially Affected by Each Program Alternative..... 5-2

Table 5-3 Napa County Mosquito Abatement District BMPs to Avoid / Minimize Environmental Impacts by Alternative..... 5-15

Table 5-4 Herbicide Control Options for Mosquito Abatement as Discussed in Appendix B 5-47

Table 5-5 Adjuvants for Insect Abatement/Weed Control as Discussed in Appendix B 5-48

Table 5-6 Chemical Control Active Ingredients and Adjuvants Identified in Appendix B..... 5-58

Table 5-7 Chemical Control Options for Larval Mosquito Abatement as Discussed in Appendix B..... 5-60

Table 5-8 Chemical Control Options for Adult Insect/Vector Abatement as Discussed in Appendix B..... 5-63

Table 5-9 Chemical Control Options for Rodent Abatement as Discussed in Appendix B..... 5-68

Table 5-10 Summary Biological Resources - Terrestrial Impacts by Alternative 5-74

Table 6-1 Pesticide Active Ingredients..... 6-4

Table 6-2 Herbicide Active Ingredients and Adjuvants 6-4

Table 6-3 Herbicides Employed for Mosquito/Weed Abatement 6-17

Table 6-4 Adjuvants Employed for Insect Abatement/Weed Control..... 6-19

Table 6-5 Biological Control Agents Employed for Mosquito Larvae Abatement 6-20

Table 6-6 Chemicals Identified for Further Evaluation in Appendix B 6-21

Table 6-7 Pesticides with Potential to Bioaccumulate 6-21

Table 6-8 Chemicals Employed for Larval Mosquito Abatement..... 6-22

Table 6-9 Chemicals Employed for Adult Vector/Insect Abatement 6-25

Table 6-10 Chemicals Employed for Rodent Abatement..... 6-29

Table 6-11 Summary of Ecological Health Impacts by Alternative 6-33

Table 7-1a Population and Growth in Napa County (1990–2010) 7-1

Table 7-1b	Population and Growth in the Four Counties Adjacent to the District Service Area (1990–2010).....	7-2
Table 7-2	Pesticide Active Ingredients.....	7-4
Table 7-3	Herbicide Active Ingredients and Adjuvants	7-5
Table 7-4	Herbicides Used for Mosquito Abatement	7-14
Table 7-5	Adjuvants Used for Weed Abatement.....	7-15
Table 7-6	Active Ingredients Identified for Further Evaluation in Appendix B.....	7-17
Table 7-7	Chemicals Employed for Larval Mosquito Abatement.....	7-18
Table 7-8	Chemicals Employed for Adult Invertebrate Vector Abatement	7-21
Table 7-9	Chemicals Employed for Rodent Abatement.....	7-24
Table 7-10	Summary of Human Health Impacts by Alternative	7-27
Table 8-1	Summary of Public Services and Hazard Response Impacts by Alternative	8-19
Table 9-1	Pesticide Concentrations in Surface Water and Sediment Throughout the Program Area and Vicinity (1993 to 2012).....	9-10
Table 9-2	List of County General Plan Pesticide and Water Quality Policies.....	9-17
Table 9-3	NCMAD BMPs to Avoid/Minimize Environmental Impacts to Water Resources	9-20
Table 9-4	Summary of Water Resources Impacts by Alternative	9-41
Table 10-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	10-8
Table 10-2	Attainment Status Summary - Bay Area Region.....	10-9
Table 10-3	CEQA Significance Thresholds - BAAQMD (2010/2011) ¹	10-15
Table 10-4	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District’s Selected Alternatives Applicability	10-17
Table 10-5	Land Uses Associated with Selected Alternatives for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-17
Table 10-6	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Surveillance Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-18
Table 10-7	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Physical Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-18
Table 10-8	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Vegetation Management Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-18
Table 10-9	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Biological Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-18
Table 10-10	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Chemical Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-18
Table 10-11	Estimated Annual Criteria Emissions for Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District.....	10-19
Table 10-12	Estimated Peak Daily Criteria Emissions for Applicable Alternatives - Simultaneous Operations for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District	10-19
Table 10-13	Estimated Highest Quarterly Criteria Emissions for Applicable Alternatives - Concurrent Operations for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District	10-19
Table 10-14	Examples of VOC Emissions from Pesticides Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Uses	10-20

Table 10-15 VOC Emissions Calculation Report- Roundup Pro Herbicide 10-21

Table 10-16 VOC Emissions Calculation Report –Diuron-80 10-21

Table 10-17 VOC Emissions Calculation Report – Karmex 80DF 10-22

Table 10-18 VOC Emissions Calculation Report – Aquamaster Herbicide 10-22

Table 10-19 VOC Emissions Calculation – Roundup Promax Herbicide..... 10-22

Table 10-20 VOC Emissions Calculation- Oust XP 10-22

Table 10-21 VOC Emissions Calculation Pyrenone 25-5 Insecticide 10-23

Table 10-22 Total VOC Emissions from Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Product Applications in 2011 10-23

Table 10-23 Summary of Air Quality Impacts by Alternative 10-36

Table 11-1 Standard Composition of Dry Air 11-3

Table 11-2 Typical GHG Contents of Common Fuels 11-6

Table 11-3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories - Gross Basis 11-8

Table 11-4 Bay Area GHG Emissions by Sector 11-8

Table 11-5 Bay Area GHG Emissions by County 11-9

Table 11-6 Mobile Sectors GHG Emissions by County 11-9

Table 11-7 Offroad Subsectors GHG Emissions by County 11-10

Table 11-8 Napa County Mosquito Abatement District’s Selected Alternatives Applicability 11-19

Table 11-9 Land Uses Associated with Selected Alternatives for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-19

Table 11-10 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Surveillance Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District 11-19

Table 11-11 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Physical Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-19

Table 11-12 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Vegetation Management Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-19

Table 11-13 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Biological Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-20

Table 11-14 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Chemical Control Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-20

Table 11-15 Estimated Annual GHG Emissions for Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative for Napa County Mosquito Abatement District..... 11-20

Table 11-16 Summary of Greenhouse Gas Impacts by Alternative..... 11-27

Table 12-1 Typical Stationary and Mobile Noise Source Sound Levels in dBA 12-2

Table 12-2 USEPA-Designated Long-Term Noise Safety Levels 12-4

Table 12-3 Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Environment..... 12-7

Table 12-4 Relevant Local Noise Standards in the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Service Area..... 12-9

Table 12-5 Surveillance Alternative–Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types 12-16

Table 12-6	Physical Control Alternative—Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types	12-18
Table 12-7	Vegetation Management Alternative—Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types	12-19
Table 12-8	Biological Control—Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types.....	12-20
Table 12-9	Chemical Control Alternative—Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types	12-22
Table 12-10	Other Nonchemical Control/Trapping Alternative—Primary Equipment Use, Noise Levels, and Land Use Types	12-23
Table 12-11	Summary of Noise Impacts by Alternative	12-25
Table 13-1	Historical Pesticide Use by all Users within the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Program Area	13-11
Table 13-2	Pesticide Use by NCMAD within the District Service Area, 2006–2010.....	13-14
Table 15-1	Summary of Program Alternative Impacts	15-10
Table 16-1	Technical and Support Personnel.....	16-1

Figures

Figure 1-1	St. Louis Encephalitis Virus Neuroinvasive Disease Cases Reported by State, 1964–2010	1-3
Figure 1-2	Reported Cases of Human Plague – United States, 1970–2012	1-8
Figure 2-1	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Program Area	2-3
Figure 4-1	Aquatic Zoogeographic Provinces	4-3
Figure 4-2	Ecological Food Web Concept.....	4-62
Figure 5-1	Terrestrial Ecoregion Provinces	5-3
Figure 5-2	Ecological Food-Web Concept	5-35
Figure 6-1	Ecological Food Web Concept.....	6-14
Figure 9-1	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District Program Area and California Hydrologic Regions with Major Waterbodies	9-3

Acronyms

°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
µg/kg	microgram(s) per kilogram
µg/L	microgram(s) per liter
µg/m ³	microgram(s) per cubic meter
2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
AB	Assembly Bill
AMM	Avoidance and minimization measure
APAP	Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan
APEs	alkylphenol ethoxylates
ATCM	Airborne Toxics Control Measure
ATSB	Attractive Toxic Sugar Bait
ATV	all-terrain vehicle
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
Basin Plan	Water Quality Control Plan
BASMAA	Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association
BCDC	San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
BDCP	Bay Delta Conservation Plan
BMP	best management practice
BP	boiling point
Bs	Bacillus sphaericus
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes
Bti	Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis
CAA	Clean Air Act of 1970
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
Cal-EPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCD	colony collapse disorder
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDFA	California Department of Food and Agriculture
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife (formerly Fish and Game [CDFG])
CDPH	California Department of Public Health (formerly Health Services [CDHS])

CDPR	California Department of Pesticide Regulation
CDR	Chemical Data Reporting
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEDEN	California Environmental Data Exchange Network
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CH ₄	methane
CNDDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO _{2e}	carbon dioxide equivalent(s)
CTS	California tiger salamander
CWA	Clean Water Act
dB	decibel(s)
dBA	A-weighted sound level/decibel(s)
Delta	Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta
District/NCMAD	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPS	Distinct Population Segment
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FHSZ	Fire Hazard Severity Zone
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
GHG	greenhouse gas
GPS	global positioning system
GWP	global warming potential
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HPS	Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome
IGR	Insect growth regulator
IMVMP	Integrated Mosquito and Vector Management Program
Program/IMVMP	Integrated Mosquito and Vector Management Program
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPM	Integrated Pest Management
IVM	Integrated Vector Management
IVMP	Integrated Vector Management Program

LC50	50 percent lethal concentration
LCAQMD	Lake County Air Quality Management District
LD50	50 percent lethal dose
L _{dn}	day/night average sound level
L _{eq}	energy-equivalent sound/noise descriptor
LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
LS	less than significant
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCLs	Maximum Contaminant Levels
MEI	Maximally Exposed Individual
mg/kg	milligram(s) per kilogram
mg/L	milligram(s) per liter
MMT	million metric tonne(s)
MSDS	material safety data sheet
MT	metric tonne(s)
N	no impact
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NCMAD	Napa County Mosquito Abatement District
NCPP	Natural Community Conservation Plan
ng/L	nanogram(s) per liter
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NO	nitric oxide
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NOP	Notice of Preparation
NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NPCD	Napa County Planning Division
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NSCAPCD	Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
O ₃	ozone
OP	organophosphate
PAHs	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PAP	Pesticide Application Plan (NPDES)
Pb	lead

PBO	piperonyl butoxide
PCBs	polychlorinated biphenyls
PEIR	Programmatic Environmental Impact Report
PERP	Portable Equipment Registration Program
PHG	Public Health Goal
PM ₁₀	respirable particulate matter
PM _{2.5}	fine particulate matter
POD	pelagic organism decline
POM	particulate organic matter
ppb	part(s) per billion
ppm	part(s) per million
ppmv	part(s) per million by volume
ppt	part(s) per trillion
RHA	Rivers and Harbors Act
ROC	reactive organic compound
ROG	reactive organic gas
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SB	Senate Bill
SCP	Scientific Collecting Permit
SCWA	Solano County Water Agency
SF ₆	sulfur hexafluoride
SFBAAB	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SLE	Saint Louis encephalitis
SM	potentially significant but mitigable
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SU	significant and unavoidable
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
SWRCB	California State Water Resources Control Board
TMDL	total maximum daily load
ULV	ultralow volume
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USEPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
USFS	US Forest Service
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
VCAPCD	Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

VOC	volatile organic compound
VVOC	very volatile organic compound
WEE	western equine encephalomyelitis
WNV	West Nile virus
YNHP	Yolo Natural Heritage Program
YSAQMD	Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District

This Page Intentionally Left Blank